

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/821,875	04/12/2004	Byoung-Woo Cho	1749.1010	1817
21171	7590 09/28/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			KAUFFMAN, BRIAN K	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		3765	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/821,875	CHO, BYOUNG-WOO					
Office Action Sui	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Brian K Kauffman	3765					
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	nis communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of - If the period for reply specified above is left.  If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (6)	COMMUNICATION.  er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication.  ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 12 Au	oril 2004.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .								
3) Since this application is i								
closed in accordance wit	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are reject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Examine	•						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2004 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	• •							
_ '	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
,	objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached of	1100 7 (011011 01 101111 1 TO 102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of</li><li>3. Copies of the certified</li></ul>	None of: the priority documents the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Appl ity documents have been rec	cation No					
· ·		of the certified copies not rec	eived.					
		·	•					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	)\	A) 🖂 Intoniani Oire						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (P10-892</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> </ol>			mary (PTO-413) ail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04.			nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because fig. 4 contains shading. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lo (6,493,880). Lo discloses elastic headwear comprising: a head-covering portion being stretchable in at least a circumferential direction thereof having a plurality of pieces (col. 3, line 67 and col. 4, lines 1-4), at least one piece being made of a stretchable knitted mesh which comprises at least one non-covered stretch yarn and a plurality of non-stretch yarns (col.5, lines 5-11); and a sweatband (25) being stretchable in at least a circumferential direction

thereof (Col. 5, lines 16-17), wherein the non-covered stretch yarn and the plurality of non-stretch yarns are provided in rows without being twisted with each other.

The most important characteristic of the head covering is the ability to be stretchable in the circumferential direction. Lo discloses a weft knitted mesh (col. 5, lines 6-7) and that the head covering portion be stretchable in the circumferential direction (col. 4, lines 1-4). Warp and weft knitted meshes can both be used to produce a head-covering portion that is stretchable in the circumferential direction with no observable differences in the meshes. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a warp knitted mesh to produce the head covering portions that are stretchable in the circumferential direction as disclosed by Lo since warp and weft knitted meshes result in no observable differences in the meshes.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Kauffman whose telephone number is (703)605-4933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F every week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703)305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,875

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

BKK

Peter Nerbun Primary Examiner Page 4